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#### Abstract

The reactivity of 3-benzylidene- and 3-ethylidene-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-1,2-benzothiazin-4-one 1,1-dioxide 1a,b towards alkylidenephosphoranes 2 has been investigated. The reaction leads to the formation of 6a,7-dihydrodibenzo[ $c, e][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5-dioxide derivatives 3 , new heteropolycyclic systems containing the 1,2 -benzothiazine 1,1 -dioxide skeleton. A Michael addition of the alkylidenephosphoranes $\gamma$-carbon to the $\beta$-carbon of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl system of $(Z)-1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ followed by a prototropy and an intramolecular Wittig condensation, explain the formation of products 3. Oxidation of compounds 3 gave the dibenzo $[c, e][1,2]$ thiazine derivatives 4 whereas their reaction with PTSA. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave the (1,1'-biphenyl)-2-sulfonamides 5.


In a previous paper we described the synthesis ${ }^{1}$ and the reactivity towards nucleophiles ${ }^{1}$ of 3-benzylidene- and 3-ethylidene-2-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1,2-benzothiazin-4-one 1,1dioxide 1a,b. More recently we reported a new and simpler preparation of compounds $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and their reaction with morpholino enamines ${ }^{3}$ and vinyl ethers ${ }^{4}$ to give polycyclic systems containing the skeleton of 1,2 -benzothiazine 5,5 dioxide. Continuing in this field we now report the reaction between 1a,b and alkylidene(triphenyl)phosphoranes 2 (Scheme 1) leading to the new heteropolycyclic 6a,7-dihydrodibenzo[ $c, e][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5 -dioxide derivatives 3. This annelation, followed by oxidation of products 3 , represents a new and efficient synthesis of the dibenzo[ $c, e][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5 -dioxide system $4 .{ }^{5}$

The experiments were performed in tetrahydrofuran solution at room temperature for 12 h . $\dagger$ After chromatography on silica gel of the reaction mixture, products 3 were isolated in good yield (Table 1) together with an equimolar quantity of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$. The structure of $6 \mathrm{a}, 7$-dihydrodibenzo $[c, e][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5 dioxide was assigned to products $\mathbf{3}$ on the basis of analytical and spectroscopic data. The IR spectra showed no carbonyl band. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra all the protons showed chemical shift values and multiplicities consistent with the indicated structures 3 (Table 2). In fact, protons $9-\mathrm{H}$ and $10-\mathrm{H}$ appeared as a doublet of doublets with a ${ }^{3} J_{9.10}$ coupling constant ( $5.9-6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) in agreement with two adjacent hydrogens in a cyclohexadiene ring, and a ${ }^{4} J$ coupling constant with $7-\mathrm{H}$ and $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ respectively. Also, $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ and $7-\mathrm{H}$ showed a doublet of doublets with ${ }^{3} J_{6 \mathrm{a} .7}$ and ${ }^{4} J$ coupling constants.

Allylic ylides can react at both the $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ carbons, depending on the substrates and the reaction conditions. In the case of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated carbonyl compounds, the accepted mode of reaction ${ }^{6}$ involves bond formation between the less hindered $\gamma$ carbon of the ylide and the $\beta$-position of the conjugated ketone followed by a proton shift and an intramolecular Wittig reaction to give cyclohexadiene derivatives. Following this scheme, the reaction of $(Z)-1 \mathbf{a}, \mathrm{~b}$ with the allylic ylides 2 leads to phosphonium betaine $\tau$ (Scheme 1) as a result of [1,4] conjugate addition and accompanying proton transfer. The final Wittig cyclization allows the fused cyclohexadieno-1,2-benzothiazine ring system to be formed.
$\dagger$ The non-stabilized ylides 2 c , $\mathbf{d}$ were generated in situ from the corresponding phosphonium salts by the action of sodium hydride whereas $2 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ were isolated as inner salts.


Scheme 1

The other possible products deriving from the attack of the $\alpha-$ form of 2 were ruled out on the basis of the observed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The reactions were also completely diastereoselective

Table 1 Analytical data for products 3

| Comp. (formula) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Crystallization solvent | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mp} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Found (\%) (required) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N |
| 3 aa | 50 | Prioh | 160-161 | 65.99 | 5.21 | 3.52 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ |  |  |  | (66.14) | (4.99) | (3.67) |
| 3 ab | 45 | EtOH | 209-211 | 68.82 | 4.52 | 7.88 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ |  |  |  | (68.96) | (4.60) | (8.05) |
| ${ }_{(2 \mathrm{ac}}^{\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)}$ | 52 | EtOH | 193-195 | $\begin{gathered} 74.92 \\ (75.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.12 \\ (5.26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.39 \\ (3.51) \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }_{3 \mathrm{ad}} \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) | 87 | Prioh | 192-194 | (71.07) | (5.26) 5.59 | (3.51) 4.08 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ ) |  |  |  | (71.22) | (5.64) | (4.15) |
| 3ba | 31 | $\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathbf{i}} \mathrm{OH}$ | 135-137 | 60.10 | 5.31 | 4.36 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ |  |  |  | (60.19) | (5.33) | (4.39) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 b b} \\ & \left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{~S}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 76 | Prioh | 159-161 | $\begin{gathered} 63.10 \\ (62.94) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.76 \\ (4.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.89 \\ (9.79) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right) \\ & \mathbf{3 b c} \end{aligned}$ | 40 | EtOH | 168-170 | (62.94) 71.01 | $(4.89)$ 5.58 | (9.79) 3.98 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ ) |  |  |  | (71.22) | (5.64) | (4.15) |
| 3bd $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ | 43 | Prioh | 126-128 | $\begin{gathered} 65.25 \\ (65.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.22 \\ (6.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.93 \\ (5.09) \end{gathered}$ |

since only one of the two possible diastereoisomers $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ (as a pair of enantiomers) was ever obtained. They can exist as equilibria between the two conformers $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} / \mathbf{A}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{\prime} / \mathbf{B}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively (Scheme 2).
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In order to ascribe the correct diastereoisomeric structure A or $\mathbf{B}$ to products 3 , we considered the ${ }^{3} J_{6 \text { a. }}$ values experimentally measured. When $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ or Ph these values agree with an eq,eq relationship between $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ and $7-\mathrm{H}$ $\left(5.1-7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ) whereas when $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CN}$ or Me they show an $a x, a x$ relationship between these two hydrogens ( $14.5-17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). This is independent of the nature of R ( Ph or Me) (Table 2). The diastereoisomer $\mathbf{A}$ allows a rationalization of these results. In fact only conformer $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ accounts for the typical ax, ax coupling of $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H} / 7-\mathrm{H}$ observed in 3ab, 3ad, 3bb and 3bd; in the other diastereoisomer $\mathbf{B}$ there is either an $a x, e q$ ( $\mathbf{B}^{\prime}$ ) or an eq,ax ( $\mathbf{B}^{\prime \prime}$ ) relationship between these two hydrogens. Dreiding molecular models of $\mathbf{A}$ show that when $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ is a small group ( $\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Me}$ ) the more stable conformation is that with R in the favoured equatorial position ( $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ) (consequently, $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ and $7-\mathrm{H}$ resulted in an $a x, a x$ relationship); in contrast when $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ is a bulky group ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ) the steric hindrance between $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ and R favours the $A^{\prime \prime}$ conformer with $R$ in an axial position ( $6 a-H$ and $7-\mathrm{H}$ in an eq,eq relationship). The ${ }^{3} J_{6 \mathrm{a} .7}$ coupling constant values account for a conformational equilibrium in which $\mathbf{A}^{\prime}$ prevails for products 3ab, 3ad, 3bb, 3bd and $\mathbf{A}^{\prime \prime}$ for 3aa, 3ac, 3ba, 3be.
The complete preference observed for diastereoisomer $\mathbf{A}$ is a consequence of the depicted mechanism: the intramolecular proton shift concerted with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation fixes the relative configuration of the $6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C}$ and $7-\mathrm{C}$ centres.

The chemical behaviour of compounds 3 was successively studied. The aromatization of the cyclohexadiene ring to dibenzo $[c, e][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5 -dioxides 4 was effected by several oxidizing agents because (see Experimental section) there was not found to be a general method which worked well for all the substrates (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3
The reaction of products 3aa, 3ac, 3ad and 3bc with toluene-$p$-sulfonic acid (PTSA $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave unexpected and interesting results (Scheme 3).
The expected isomerization products ${ }^{7}$ were not formed; a ring opening of the heterocyclic system followed by aromatization gave the $1,1^{\prime}$-biphenyl derivatives 5 . The initial protonation of the $6-\mathrm{N}$ could be responsible for elimination of the $7-\mathrm{H}$ proton by the water of crystallization of PTSA; with breaking of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond the system gains aromaticity. The use of anhydrous PTSA prevents this reaction course and starting materials are recovered unchanged probably because in the absence of water the toluenesulfonate anion is not sufficiently basic to cause elimination.

## Experimental

Mps were determined with a Buchi apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with a Bruker WP-80-SY spectrometer. Chemical shifts are expressed as $\delta$ values from tetramethylsilane.
( $Z$ )-3-Benzylidene- and -3-ethylidene-2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-1,2-benzothiazin-4-one 1,1 -dioxide 1 a and $\mathbf{1 b},{ }^{1.2}$ methyl 4 -(triphenylphosphoranylidene)but-2-enoate 2a, ${ }^{8}$ 4-(triphenyl-phosphoranylidene)but-2-enenitrile $\quad \mathbf{2 b},{ }^{9} \quad 3$-phenylprop-2enylidene(triphenyl)phosphorane $2 \mathbf{c}^{10}$ and but-2-enylidene-

Table $2{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for products $\mathbf{3}\left[\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{ppm}\right.$ from TMS), $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{Hz}]$

| Comp. | H-6a | H-7 | H-9 | H-10 | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}$ | 7-Me | $8-\mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Arom. | ${ }^{3} J_{6 a, 7}$ | ${ }^{3} J_{9.10}$ | ${ }^{4} J_{6 \mathrm{a} .10}$ | ${ }^{4} J_{7.9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3aa | 5.07 | 4.29 | $>7.20$ | 6.78 | 2.60 | - | - | 3.65 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2-7.8 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 6.30 | 6.59 | 1.46 | 1.22 |
|  | dd | dd |  | dd | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3ab | 5.00 | 4.35 | 6.90 | 6.63 | 2.35 | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2-7.9 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 17.60 | 5.98 | 2.80 | 2.68 |
|  | dd | dd | dd | dd | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 ac | 5.07 | 4.48 | 6.64 | 6.81 | 2.60 | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1-7.8 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 7.30 | 6.47 | 1.47 | 1.22 |
|  | dd | dd | dd | dd | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3ad | 5.06 | 3.85 | 6.03 | 6.64 | 2.45 | - | 1.65s | - | 7.2-7.8 | 14.52 | 6.16 | 2.40 | 2.05 |
|  | dd | m | dt | dd | s |  |  |  | m |  |  |  |  |
| 3ba | 4.85 | 3.20 | 7.05 | 6.72 | 2.60 | 1.35 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 3.80 \\ & \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | 7.4-7.9 | 5.13 | 6.47 | 1.22 | 0.98 |
|  | dd | m | dd | dd | s | d |  |  | m |  |  |  |  |
| 3bb | 4.55 | 3.00 | 6.85 | 6.67 | 2.7 | 1.50 | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5-8.0 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 17.21 | 6.11 | 2.32 | 2.68 |
|  | dd | m | dd | dd | s | d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3be | 4.88 | 3.27 | 6.32 | 6.77 | 2.65 | 1.25 | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3-7.9 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 7.20 | 6.53 | 1.46 | 0.78 |
|  | dd | m | dd | dd | s | d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3bd | 4.60 | 2.80 | 5.85 | 6.65 | 2.55 | 1.27 | 1.95 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3-7.9 \\ & \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 14.70 | 6.16 | 2.74 | - |
|  | dd | m | dt | dd | s | d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(triphenyl)phosphorane $\mathbf{2 d}{ }^{11}$ were prepared according to reported methods.

## Synthesis of products 3

Method A. A solution of $1(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2a or 2b ( 11 mmol ) in THF was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was treated with $5 \%$ aqueous HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extract was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness. Compounds 3 were purified on a silica gel column using toluene-EtOAc (9:1) as eluent.
Method B. To a suspension of the phosphonium salt of 2c or 2d ( 11 mmol ) in THF was added sodium hydride ( 22 mmol ). After 15 min a solution of $\mathbf{1}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF was added dropwise to the mixture which was then stirred at room temperature for 12 h . After this it was worked up as indicated in method A. Analytical and spectroscopic data for products 3 are given in Tables 1 and 2.

## Oxidation of products 3 to 4

Method A. To a solution of 3ab or 3bb ( 0.3 mmol ) in dioxane ( 10 ml ) were added aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and aqueous potassium ferricyanide ( 2 mmol ). After the mixture had been stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h it was evaporated and the residue was treated with $5 \%$ aqueous acetic acid and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extract was washed with water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness. Compounds $\mathbf{4 a b}$ and $\mathbf{4 b b}$ were purified on a silica gel column using toluene-EtOAc (9:1) as eluent.
8-Cyano-6-methyl-7-phenyldibenzo[c,e][1,2]thiazine 5,5dioxide 4ab. $-\mathrm{Mp} 282-283{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (PriOH); yield $40 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.5$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ) and 7.5-8.1 ( $11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) (Found: C, 68.9; H, 3.94; $\mathrm{N}, 7.89 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 69.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.05 ; \mathrm{N}$, $8.09 \%$ ).
8-Cyano-6,7-dimethyldibenzo $[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5 -dioxide 4bb. $\mathrm{Mp} 220-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} O H}\right)$; yield $38 \% ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe})$, 2.9 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}$ ) and 7.6-8.1 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) (Found: C, 63.08; $\mathrm{H}, 4.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.64 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.23 ; \mathrm{N}$, $9.86 \%$ ).
Method B. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 a c}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid was added dropwise a solution of lead tetraacetate $(0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the same solvent. The mixture was stirred, under nitrogen atmosphere, at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then evaporated. The residue was treated with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The extract was washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and water, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness. Compound 4ac was purified on a silica gel column using toluene as eluent.
7,8-Diphenyl-6-methyldibenzo[c,e][1,2]thiazine 5,5-dioxide 4ac. $-\mathrm{Mp} 230-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; yield $40 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe})$
and 7.0-8.0 (16 H, m, ArH) (Found: C, 75.41; H, 4.87; N, 3.62. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 75.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.78$; $\mathrm{N}, 3.53 \%$ ).
Method C. A mixture of 3aa or 3ad or 3bd ( 0.2 mmol ) and 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) ( 0.4 mmol ) in toluene was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h and then evaporated. The residue was purified on a silica gel column using toluene$\operatorname{EtOAc}$ (9:1) as eluent.

8-Methoxycarbonyl-6-methyl-7-phenyldibenzo $[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5-dioxide 4aa.-Mp $176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; yield $60 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ $2.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe}), 3.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe})$ and $7.2-8.1$ ( $11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) (Found: C, 72.48; H, 4.75; N, 3.87. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C , $72.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.90 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.03 \%$ ).
6,8-Dimethyl-7-phenyldibenzo[ $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}][1,2]$ thiazine 5,5-dioxide 4ad. $\mathrm{Mp} 183-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} O H}\right)$; yield $84 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe})$, $2.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe})$ and $7.3-8.0(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ (Found: C, 71.39 ; $\mathrm{H}, 4.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.03 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 71.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.07$; N , $4.18 \%$ ).
6,7,8-Trimethyldibenzo[c,e][1,2]thiazine 5,5-dioxide 4bd.$\mathrm{Mp} 186-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} O H}\right)$; yield $83 \% ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}), 2.5(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}), 2.9$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ) and 7.2-8.0 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) (Found: C, 65.71; H, 5.32; N, 5.05. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 65.93; H, 5.49; N, 5.13\%).

## Reaction of products $\mathbf{3}$ with PTSA• $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$

General procedure. A mixture of 3aa or 3ac or 3ad or 3bc (0.3 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and PTSA $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and then washed with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness. Products were purified by recrystallization from the indicated solvent.

4'-Methoxycarbonyl-3'-phenyl-N-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2sulfonamide 5 aa.-Mp $128-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (cyclohexane); yield $78 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.4$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), $3.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, OMe ) and 7.1-8.2 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) (Found: C, $65.89 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.85; $\mathrm{N}, 3.50 . \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 66.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.99$; N , $3.67 \%$ ).
$3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-Diphenyl-N-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2-sulfonamide 5ac.$\mathrm{Mp} 151-153{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Pri$\left.{ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; yield $70 \%$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.4$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 3.7 ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NH}$ ) and $7.2-8.2(17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) (Found: C, 74.98 ; H, 5.45; N, 3.61. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 75.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.26$; N , $3.51 \%$ ).

4'-Methyl-3'-phenyl-N-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2-sulfonamide 5ad. $-\mathrm{Mp} 154-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{OH}\right)$; yield $70 \% ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe})$, $2.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NMe}), 3.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.3-8.2(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ (Found: C, $71.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.82$; N, 4.24. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C , 71.22 ; H, 5.64; N, 4.15\%).
$3^{\prime}$-Methyl-4'-phenyl-N-methyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2-sulfonamide 5be.-Mp $135-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i} O H}\right)$; yield $80 \% ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe})$, $2.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NMe}), 3.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NH})$ and $7.3-8.2(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ (Found: C, 70.73; H, 5.32; N, 4.05. $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $71.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.64 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.15 \%)$.
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